

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION IN AN APPLICATION Form PTO-1449	Docket Number	Application Number
	043311-0313722	09/461,336
	Applicant(s)	
	Dazhi CHEN <i>et al.</i>	
	Filing Date	Group Art Unit
	December 15, 1999	3627

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	1A	5,855,007	12/29/1998	Jovicic <i>et al.</i>	705	14	
	1B	5,923,016	07/13/1999	Fredregill <i>et al.</i>	235	380	
	1C	5,970,469	10/19/1999	Scroggie <i>et al.</i>	705	14	
	1D	6,014,634	01/11/2000	Scroggie <i>et al.</i>	705	14	
	1E	6,687,679	02/03/2004	Van Luchene <i>et al.</i>	705	14.13	
	1F	6,965,868	11/15/2005	Bednarek	705	9	
	1G	7,069,228	06/27/2006	Rose <i>et al.</i>	705	5	
	1H	7,330,826	02/12/2008	Porat <i>et al.</i>	705	26	
	1I	2001/0034625	10/25/2001	Kwoh	705	6	
	1J	2006/0095434	05/04/2006	McCullough <i>et al.</i>	707	10	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translations	
							YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	1K	Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,741,969, without Exhibits, filed October 6, 2009, 263 pages.
	1L	Reexam Control No. 95/000,510 – Order Granting/Denying Request for Inter Partes Reexamination – Inter Partes Reexamination Communication - Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester Inter Partes Reexamination - mailed December 7, 2009, 24 pages.
	1M	Reexam Control No. 95/000,510 – Office Action in Inter Partes Reexamination mailed December 7, 2009, 38 pages.
	1N	Exhibit PA-E - Sheryl E. KIMES <i>et al.</i> , "Restaurant Revenue Management: Applying Yield Management to the Restaurant Industry", <u>Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly</u> , June 1998, Volume 39, No. 3, at page 32 (" <i>Kimes (June 1998)</i> " or "the Kimes (June 1998) reference") – 12 pages.
	1O	Exhibit PA-F – Sheryl E. KIMES, "Implementing Restaurant Revenue Management: A Five-Step Approach", <u>Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly</u> , June 1999, Volume 40, No. 3, at page 16 (" <i>Kimes (June 1999)</i> " or "the Kimes (June 1999) reference") – 9 pages.
	1P	Exhibit PA-G – Sheryl E. KIMES <i>et al.</i> , "Developing a Restaurant Revenue-Management Strategy", <u>Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly</u> , October 1999, Volume 40, No. 5, at page 18 (" <i>Kimes (October 1999)</i> " or "the Kimes (October 1999) reference") – 16 pages.
	1Q	Exhibit PA-H – Brian T. SILL, "Capacity Management: Making Your Service Delivery More Productive", <u>Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly</u> , February 1991, Volume 31, No. 1, at page 77 (" <i>Sill</i> " or "the Sill reference") – 15 pages.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION IN AN APPLICATION Form PTO-1449	Docket Number	Application Number
	043311-0313722	09/461,336
	Applicant(s)	
	Dazhi CHEN <i>et al.</i>	
	Filing Date	Group Art Unit
	December 15, 1999	3627

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translations	
						YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS *(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)*

2A	Exhibit PAT-B – File wrapper of the 6,741,969 patent, 274 pages.
2B	Exhibit PAT-C – File wrapper of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/849,935, 423 pages.
2C	Exhibit PAT-D – Westlaw Docket for Pending Litigation: <i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. 3:09-cv-02074 (N.D. CA) (Complaint filed May 12, 2009), 10 pages.
2D	Exhibit PAT-E – Complaint Filed in Pending Litigation: <i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. 3:09-cv-02074 (N.D. CA) (Complaint filed May 12, 2009), 45 pages.
2E	Exhibit PAT-F – Plaintiffs Infringement Contentions Filed in Pending Litigation, <i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. 3:09-cv-02074 (N.D. CA), 15 pages.
2F	Exhibit CC-A – Claims 1-12, 22-23, 25-36, and 46-47 Are Anticipated by <i>DeLorme</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 102, 49 pages.
2G	Exhibit CC-B – Claims 1-12, 22-23, 25-36, and 46-47 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 49 pages.
2H	Exhibit CC-C - Claims 1, 9-18, 20-22, 25, 33-42, and 44-46 Are Anticipated by <i>Bednarek</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 102, 35 pages.
2I	Exhibit CC-D – Claims 1, 9-18, 20-22, 25, 33-42, and 44-46 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Bednarek</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 35 pages.
2J	Exhibit CC-E – Claims 1-17, 19-41, and 43-48 Are Anticipated by <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 102, 83 pages.
2K	Exhibit CC-F – Claims 1-17, 19-41, and 43-48 Are Anticipated By <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 102, 83 pages.
2L	Exhibit CC-G – Claims 1-18, 20-23, 25-42, and 44-47 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In View Of <i>Bednarek</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 91 pages.
2M	Exhibit CC-H – Claims 1-17, 19-41, and 43-48 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In View Of <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 132 pages.
2N	Exhibit CC-I – Claims 1-48 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 123 pages.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION IN AN APPLICATION				Docket Number		Application Number	
				043311-0313722		09/461,336	
				Applicant(s)			
				Dazhi CHEN <i>et al.</i>			
				Filing Date		Group Art Unit	
Form PTO-1449				December 15, 1999		3627	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
*EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translations YES NO
OTHER DOCUMENTS <i>(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)</i>							
	3A	Exhibit CC-J – Claims 1-48 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In View Of <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 172 pages.					
	3B	Exhibit CC-K – Claims 1-23 and 25-47 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In View Of <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of <i>Garg</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 114 pages.					
	3C	Exhibit CC-L – Claims 5 and 29 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In View Of The <i>Kimes</i> References In View Of <i>Sill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 7 pages.					
	3D	Exhibit CC-M – Claims 5 and 29 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of The <i>Kimes</i> References In View Of <i>Sill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 7 pages.					
	3E	Exhibit CC-N – Claims 5 and 29 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Porat</i> In View Of The <i>Kimes</i> References In View Of <i>Sill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 7 pages.					
	3F	Exhibit CC-O – Claims 13, 22, 37, and 46 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In view Of <i>Fredregill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.					
	3G	Exhibit CC-P – Claims 13, 16, 22, 37, 40, and 46 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of <i>Fredregill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.					
	3H	Exhibit CC-Q – Claims 13, 16, 22, 37, 40, and 46 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Porat</i> In view Of <i>Fredregill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.					
	3I	Exhibit CC-R – Claims 17-18 and 41-42 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>DeLorme</i> In view Of <i>Van Luchene</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.					
	3J	Exhibit CC-S – Claims 17-18 and 41-42 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Bednarek</i> In View Of <i>Van Luchene</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.					
	3K	Exhibit CC-T – Claims 17-18, 20, 41-42, and 44 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Porat</i> In View Of <i>Van Luchene</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 6 pages.					
	3L	Exhibit CC-U – Claims 1-13, 22-23, 25-37, and 46-47 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Walker</i> In View Of <i>Rose</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 62 pages.					
	3M	Exhibit CC-V – Claims 1-17, 19-41, and 43-48 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Walker</i> In View Of <i>Rose</i> In View Of <i>Porat</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 144 pages.					

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION IN AN APPLICATION Form PTO-1449	Docket Number	Application Number
	043311-0313722	09/461,336
	Applicant(s)	
	Dazhi CHEN <i>et al.</i>	
	Filing Date	Group Art Unit
	December 15, 1999	3627

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

REF	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translations	
						YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS *(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)*

4A	Exhibit CC-W – Claims 5 and 29 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Walker</i> In View Of <i>Rose</i> In View Of The <i>Kimes</i> References In View Of <i>Sill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 7 pages.
4B	Exhibit CC-X – Claims 13, 22, 37, and 46 Are Rendered Obvious Over <i>Walter</i> In View Of <i>Rose</i> In View Of <i>Fredregill</i> Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, 5 pages.
4C	Reexam Control No. 95/000,510 – Patentee Response to Office Action in <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination filed February 12, 2010, including attachments, 157 pages.
4D	<i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. C 09-02074 JSW (N.D. CA) – Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending Reexamination of Patent-In-Suit, filed December 3, 2009, 2 pages.
4E	<i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. 3:09-cv-02074-JSW (N.D. CA), Docket History, 2 pages.
4F	<i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. C 09 02074-JSW (N.D. CA), Defendant and Counterclaimant OpenTable, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions Pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-3 and 3-4, filed October 30, 2009, 320 pages.
4G	<i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. C 09 02074-JSW (N.D. CA), Defendant and Counterclaimant OpenTable, Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Mount Hamilton Partners LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-7), filed October 14, 2009, 16 pages.
4H	<i>Mount Hamilton Partners, LLC v. OpenTable, Inc.</i> , No. C 09 02074-EDL (N.D. CA), Defendant OpenTable, Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Patent Infringement, and Counterclaims – Demand for Jury Trial, filed June 8, 2009, 9 pages.
4I	MULLER, Christopher C., "A Simple Measure of Restaurant Efficiency", <i>Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly</i> , June 1999, Vol. 40, No. 3, ABI/INFORM Global, pages 31-37.
4J	MULLER, Christopher C., "The On-Line Restaurant", <i>Fundamentals, A Fundamental Study by Christopher C. Muller, Ph.D.</i> , Assoc. Prof in the Hospitality Management Department at the University of Central Florida, Orlando/USA, pages 40, and 42-45.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	